

DTP/92/34
May 1992

A NEW APPROACH TO LOWER DIMENSIONAL GAUGE THEORIES

Ramon Muñoz-Tapia*

*Department of Physics
University of Durham
Science Laboratories, South Road
Durham, DH1 3LE, U.K.*

Abstract

We apply the method of differential renormalization to two and three dimensional abelian gauge theories. The method is especially well suited for these theories as the problems of defining the antisymmetric tensor are avoided and the calculus involved is impressively simple. The topological and dynamical photon masses are obtained.

*e-mail: RMT@HEP.DUR.AC.UK

In a recent paper [1] a new method of renormalization has been introduced. The differential renormalization procedure consists of three steps: *i*) write the amplitudes in coordinate space, *ii*) locate the factors that are too singular at short distances to allow for a Fourier transform, and *iii*) write these factors as derivatives of less singular expressions. The derivatives have to be understood as integrated by parts, *i.e.* surface terms are dropped. The approach gives finite expressions corresponding to the renormalised amplitudes in a certain scheme. The easiest example is illustrated in massless $\lambda\phi\Phi^4$ theory, where the one loop four-point amplitude contains the factor $1/x\Phi^4$, which is regulated by the differential equality

$$\frac{1}{x\Phi^4} = -\frac{1}{4}\square\frac{\ln(x\Phi^2M\Phi^2)}{x\Phi^2} . \quad (1)$$

The above expression is an identity as long as $x \neq 0$. The *l.h.s.* is too singular at $x = 0$, but the *r.h.s.*, due to the presence of the differential operator, can be Fourier transformed once the surface terms are ignored. The parameter M is an integration constant that plays the role of a renormalization scale.

Differential renormalization has been shown to be very powerful in a three loop computation of $\lambda\phi\Phi^4$ and has already been applied in different contexts [1,2,3]. Although a general proof of the consistency of the method is missing, in Ref. [4] a computation at the three loop level has been redone with an explicit cutoff. The divergent terms neglected in the partial integration are made explicit and it is seen that they are indeed cancelled by counterterms of the wave function, mass, and coupling constant redefinition. Very recently compact expressions similar to Eq. (1) have been obtained for massive cases [5].

The purpose of the present note is to show that the method of differential renormalization is specially suited for massive or massless three and two dimensional theories. These theories exhibit many interesting features related to the appearance of topological [6,7] and dynamical symmetry breaking effects [8]. There is also the hope that they can play an important role in the understanding of high T_c superconductivity and the Quantum Hall Effect [9,10]. It is known that in QED(2+1) the photon acquires a mass of topological origin if massive fermions are present. Coleman and Hill [11] proved that only the one loop correction contributes to it. The use of dimensional regularization here is rather cumbersome due to the presence of the antisymmetric tensor ϵ_{ijk} and the problems of extending its definition to arbitrary dimensions. One of the nice features of differential renormalization is that space-time remains untouched, thus avoiding prob-

lems associated with defining quantities in arbitrary dimensions. The regularization is achieved by keeping the coincident points apart by means of equalities such as (1) or similar.

We first analyse massless three dimensional QED by computing the photon and fermion self energy. The results agree with Ref. [12]. Next we deal with the massive case, where the one loop correction to the photon self energy induces a topological mass which is computed. Finally we consider the two dimensional case, where the photon mass is induced by the so called Schwinger mechanism [8]. The results are shown to be gauge invariant in a very transparent manner, to be compared with dimensional or other regularization methods [13], where the gauge breaking parts cancel in a complicated way.

We will work in Euclidean space, where the Lagrangean reads

$$L(x) = \frac{1}{4}F_{ij}F_{ij} + \bar{\Psi}(\not{\partial} + ie\not{A} + im)\Psi \quad (2)$$

with

$$F_{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i \quad . \quad (3)$$

The Euclidean three dimensional algebra can be realised as [6]

$$\gamma_i = i\sigma_i \quad , \quad (4)$$

where σ_i are the 2×2 Pauli matrices. The γ_i have the property

$$\gamma_i \gamma_j = -\delta_{ij} - \epsilon_{ijk} \gamma_k \quad . \quad (5)$$

The propagator for the fermion and the boson in coordinate space are, respectively,

$$S(\mathbf{x}) = (\not{\partial} - im)\Delta(x) \quad (6)$$

and

$$\Delta_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) = \delta_{ij}\Delta(x) \quad , \quad (7)$$

this latter written in the Feynman gauge and $\Delta(x)$ given by

$$\Delta(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{e^{\Phi - mx}}{x} \quad . \quad (8)$$

The one loop vacuum polarization is

$$\Pi_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) = -(-ie)\Phi 2\text{Tr}(\gamma_i S(\mathbf{x})\gamma_j S(-\mathbf{x})) \quad . \quad (9)$$

In the massless case it reduces to

$$\Pi_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{e\Phi 2}{8\pi\Phi 2} \left(2\frac{x_i x_j}{x\Phi 2} - \delta_{ij} \right) \frac{1}{x\Phi 4} , \quad (10)$$

where the following trace properties of the matrices in Eq. (4) have been used:

$$\text{Tr}(\gamma_i \gamma_j) = -2\delta_{ij} \quad , \quad \text{Tr}(\gamma_i \gamma_j \gamma_k) = 2\epsilon_{ijk} \quad . \quad (11)$$

The structure of (10) suggests that Π_{ij} can be written as a two differential operator acting on a scalar function. Dimensional analysis shows that the function can only be $1/x\Phi 2$, thus

$$\Pi_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{e\Phi 2}{32\pi\Phi 2} (\partial_i \partial_j - \square \delta_{ij}) \frac{1}{x\Phi 2} . \quad (12)$$

The last factor has a well defined Fourier transform, the differential operator in front has reduced the degree of divergence in two units and no further differential equalities are needed. Hence, no scale similar to M appears. Notice that (12) is automatically gauge invariant

$$\partial_i \Pi_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad . \quad (13)$$

To obtain the momentum space version of Eq. (12) the differential operator has to be integrated by parts, while the remaining factor has a trivial Fourier transform proportional to $1/p$. We have then

$$\Pi_{ij}(\mathbf{p}) = -\frac{e\Phi 2}{16} p \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p\Phi 2} \right) , \quad (14)$$

which agrees with Ref. [12]. The differential equality leading from (10) to (12) has successfully coped with the linear divergence that would have appeared had we worked directly in momentum space.

In a similar fashion one can compute the fermion self energy. In coordinate space the self energy is

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{x}) = -e\Phi 2 \gamma_i S(\mathbf{x}) \gamma_j \Delta_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \quad . \quad (15)$$

Using the definitions (6) and (7) one obtains in the massless case

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{e\Phi 2}{32\pi\Phi 2} \not{\partial} \left(\frac{1}{x\Phi 2} \right) \quad (16)$$

This expression is linear in the gauge parameter and vanishes in the Landau gauge. In momentum space this becomes

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{p}) = i \frac{e\Phi 2}{16} \frac{\not{p}}{p} \quad (17)$$

Let us now turn our attention to the more interesting massive case. Using (11) to perform the trace factors, one obtains the following expression for the polarization tensor

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) = & -2e\Phi 2(2\partial_i\Delta(x)\partial_j\Delta(x) - \delta_{ij}(\partial_k\Delta(x)\partial_k\Delta(x) + m\Phi 2\Delta\Phi 2(x))) \\ & + 4ie\Phi 2m\epsilon_{ijk}\Delta(x)\partial_k\Delta(x)\end{aligned}\quad (18)$$

This expression can be put into a form analogous to Eq. (12) with the extra antisymmetric factor

$$\Pi_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) = -e\Phi 2(\partial_i\partial_j - \square\delta_{ij})F(x) + ime\Phi 2\epsilon_{ijk}\partial_k G(x) \quad . \quad (19)$$

Using the property of $\Delta(x)$

$$\Delta\Phi'(x) = -\Delta(x)\left(m + \frac{1}{x}\right) \quad , \quad (20)$$

where the prime denotes derivative of the modulus x , one can see that $F(x)$ satisfies the following differential equations

$$\begin{aligned}F\Phi''(x) - \frac{F\Phi'(x)}{x} &= 4\Delta\Phi 2(x)\left(m + \frac{1}{x}\right)\Phi 2 \\ F\Phi''(x) + \frac{F\Phi'(x)}{x} &= 2\Delta\Phi 2(x)\left(2m\Phi 2 + \frac{2m}{x} + \frac{1}{x\Phi 2}\right)\end{aligned}\quad (21)$$

Equations (21) can be solved, yielding a compatible solution given by

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{32\pi\Phi 2}\left(\frac{e\Phi - 2mx}{x\Phi 2} + 2\frac{me\Phi - mx}{x} + 4m\Phi 2\text{Ei}(-2mx)\right) \quad , \quad (22)$$

where $\text{Ei}(x)$ is the exponential-integral function [14]. $F(x)$ has a well defined Fourier transform, $F(p)$, denoted by

$$e\Phi 2p\Phi 2F(p) = \Pi\Phi(1)(p\Phi 2) \quad . \quad (23)$$

Notice that $\Pi\Phi(1)(0) = 0$, as gauge invariance dictates for the transverse part, and that in the limiting case $m \rightarrow 0$, the result (14) is trivially recovered.

As it is shown below, the last term of Eq. (18) is the one responsible for the topological photon mass induced at the one loop level. Since it is proportional to m , it is only present in the massive version of (2). It is clear that this has to be so, as massive fermion terms in the realization (3) violate parity [6]. A parity-violating, but gauge

invariant, mass term for the photon can then appear due to quantum corrections. The function $G(x)$ is easily found to be

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{8\pi\Phi 2} \frac{e\Phi - 2mx}{x\Phi 2} . \quad (24)$$

Its Fourier transform, $G(p)$, is

$$-e\Phi 2G(p) = \Pi\Phi(2)(p\Phi 2) = \frac{-e\Phi 2}{2\pi p} \arctan\left(\frac{p}{2m}\right) . \quad (25)$$

Now we can see the effect of the polarization tensor in the complete photon propagator $D_{\mu\nu}$. In the more familiar Minkowski space it reads [6]

$$D_{\mu\nu}(p) = \frac{-i}{p\Phi 2 - \Pi(-p\Phi 2)} \left(g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p\Phi 2} - i\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha} \frac{p\Phi\alpha}{p\Phi 2} M(-p\Phi 2) \right) , \quad (26)$$

with

$$\Pi(p\Phi 2) = \Pi\Phi(1)(p\Phi 2) + m\Pi\Phi(2)(p\Phi 2)M(p\Phi 2) , \quad (27)$$

and

$$M(p\Phi 2) = \frac{m\Pi\Phi(2)(p\Phi 2)}{1 - \Pi\Phi(1)(p\Phi 2)} , \quad (28)$$

where $\Pi\Phi(1)$, $\Pi\Phi(2)$ are defined in Eqs. (23), (25) and p is the Minkowski momentum. Since $\Pi\Phi(1)(0) = 0$, a topological mass is only generated if $\Pi\Phi(2)(0) \neq 0$. From Eq. (25) one readily sees

$$\Pi\Phi(2)(0) = \frac{-e\Phi 2}{4\pi m} . \quad (29)$$

At the action level, $\Pi\Phi(2)(0)$ is the factor that appears in front of the induced Chern-Simons term $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} F\Phi_{\mu\nu} A\Phi_\rho$ [15]. The result (29) agrees with other regularization methods [13,16], but not with Pauli-Villars, which is a questionable method in this environment [6].

We finally consider the 2-dimensional case or Schwinger model [8]. Here a photon mass is also generated, but now by another mechanism, namely $\Pi\Phi(1)(0) \neq 0$. Unlike the previous example, the interesting symmetry breaking effects are already present in the massless version, which for simplicity is the only one we consider. The equivalent of Eq. (7) in the massless case is

$$\Delta(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(ax) , \quad (30)$$

where a is an irrelevant constant needed for dimensional reasons. An analysis similar to Eqs. (9-12) with the standard realization of the Dirac algebra leads to

$$\Pi_{ij}(x) = -e\Phi 2(\partial_i\partial_j - \square\delta_{ij})H(x) . \quad (31)$$

The function $H(x)$ fulfills the differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} H\Phi''(x) - \frac{H\Phi'(x)}{x} &= 4\Delta\Phi'\Phi^2(x) \\ \frac{H\Phi'(x)}{x} &= 2\Delta\Phi'\Phi^2(x) \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

The compatible solution is given by

$$H(x) = -\frac{1}{2\pi\Phi^2} \ln(bx) \quad (33)$$

The momentum version of Eq. (31) is obtained by Fourier transforming $H(x)$

$$H(p) = \frac{1}{\pi p\Phi^2} \quad (34)$$

and integrating by parts the differential operator, thus yielding

$$\Pi_{ij}(p) = \frac{e\Phi^2}{\pi} \left(\frac{p_i p_j}{p\Phi^2} - \delta_{ij} \right) \quad (35)$$

From the full boson propagator at one loop order one gets the following induced photon mass

$$m\Phi^2_{2\gamma} = \frac{e\Phi^2}{\pi} \quad , \quad (36)$$

which coincides with the exact result in Ref. [8].

It has been shown that the method of differential renormalization can be successfully applied to theories with topological and dynamical symmetry breaking effects. The lower dimensional cases are particularly instructive, specially the three dimensional theory, where the massive propagator has a very simple form in terms of elementary functions. The manipulations are performed in a very transparent manner, with all expressions being finite and gauge invariant. The coordinate space, which has been neglected for many years, is found to be a powerful computational tool and deserves further consideration.

It is a pleasure to thank D.Z. Freedman for valuable comments and advice. Discussions with P. Haagensen, J.I. Latorre and X. Vilasis are gratefully acknowledged. E.W.N. Glover helped in the preparation of this note. This work has been supported by CAICYT grant AEN 90-0033. A Fleming fellowship from the Spanish Ministry of Education jointly with the British Council is also acknowledged.

References

- [1] D.Z. Freedman, K. Johnson, and J.I. Latorre, *Nucl.Phys.* **B371** (1992) 329
- [2] P.E. Haagensen, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A7** (1992) 893.
- [3] D.Z. Freedman, G. Grignani, K. Johnson, and N. Rius, “Conformal Symmetry and Differential Regularization of the 3-Gluon Vertex”, MIT preprint CTP#1991, to appear in *Ann. of Phys. (N.Y.)*.
- [4] D.Z. Freedman, K. Johnson, R. Muñoz-Tapia, and X. Vilasís-Cardona, manuscript in preparation.
- [5] P.E. Haagensen and J.I. Latorre, ‘ ‘Differential Renormalization of Massive Quantum Field Theories”, Univ. of Barcelona preprint UB-ECM-PF 92/5, to appear in *Phys. Lett.* **B** .
- [6] S. Deser, R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, *Ann. of Phys. (N.Y.)* **140** (1982) 372.
- [7] I. Affleck, J. Harvey and E. Witten, *Nucl.Phys.* **B206** (1982) 413.
- [8] J. Schwinger, *Phys. Rev.* **128** (1962) 2425.
- [9] A.M. Polyakov, *Mod. Phys. Lett* **A3** (1988) 325.
- [10] Y.H. Chern *et al.*, *Int. J. of Mod. Phys.* **B3** (1989) 1001.
- [11] S. Coleman and B. Hill, *Phys.Lett.* **B159** (1985) 184.
- [12] R. Jackiw and S. Templeton, *Phys.Rev.* **D23** (1981) 2291.
- [13] B.J. Hand and J.W. Moffat, *Phys.Lett.* **B254** (1991) 121.
- [14] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover, N.Y., 1972).
- [15] C.P. Martin, *Phys.Lett.* **B241** (1990) 513.
- [16] A.N. Redlich, *Phys.Rev.* **D29** (1984) 2366.